

Methodology

Kansas Job Vacancy Survey

Second Quarter 2012

[Sample Selection](#)[Survey Period](#)[Survey Questions](#)[Survey Responses](#)[Job Titles \(Occupational Titles\)](#)[Estimation](#)[Average Minimum Wage Offer](#)[Job Vacancy Rate](#)[Comparing Results from Previous Annual Studies](#)[Methodology Tables](#)[Helpful Links](#)

Sample Selection

Information published throughout the following web site was compiled from the 2012 Kansas Job Vacancy Survey. These survey results came from a selected sample of 3,028 firms from the Kansas Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program. This program maintains a quarterly database of non-agricultural employment from employers subject to Kansas Employment Security Law. The sample was selected from employer information taken from the records for the second quarter of 2011. It was stratified by industry super sector and establishment size class to represent all employers in each of the five local areas throughout the State of Kansas.

The sample was drawn by Labor Market Information Services (LMIS) staff using the Complex Sampling Module of the SPSS software. The sample was selected randomly without replacement within strata. This provides the foundation for estimating job vacancies in Kansas.

The sample size was determined to be the least number of establishments to satisfy two conditions: 1) the sample size for each stratum equaled at least 3 percent of the stratum population, with a minimum sample size of 5 establishments unless the stratum has less than 5, in which case all establishments in the stratum are selected and 2) all establishments that employ 250 employees or more are included in the sample. The resulting sample size provided a 5.82 percent relative standard error (RSE). RSE is defined as the standard of error divided by the estimated mean of employment. It specifies the percentage of error that can be tolerated, i.e. plus or minus 10.0 percent of the actual number of job vacancies.

The population from which the survey sample was selected included all employers in Kansas who employed at least one employee and included private employers, federal government, state government, and local government employers.

Firms excluded from the sampling process included those in private households, temporary help services, professional employer organizations, and those firms with no employees.

The sample was stratified by geographical area, representing each of the five local areas considered as planning regions under the Workforce Investment Act (WIA). Units were selected using the county information maintained in the Kansas QCEW Program. Under this program, employers report information by location, therefore allowing employers with multiple work sites to be identified in each of the counties in which they are doing business. Some units were not identified to one specific location or county, and therefore are not selected in the sampling process.

Four firm sizes were used to stratify the sample, based upon the number of employees reported through the Kansas QCEW Program. Size classes were classified as follows: Very Small (1-4 employees), Small (5-49), Medium (50-249) and Large (250 or more).

The sample was stratified by eleven super sectors. Each super sector represents one or more of the twenty major industry sectors, defined by the 2012 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS).

[Back to Top](#)

Survey Period

The survey was conducted over a three-month period from April 1, 2012 to June 30, 2012, representing the second quarter of 2012. A postcard was mailed to all businesses in the sample in the first week of April 2012 and was followed up in one of three ways depending on whether or not an e-mail contact was available. Up to three e-mail requests were sent to those businesses that had an e-mail address on record while up to two additional postal mailings were sent to those businesses that did not have an e-mail address on file. For establishments in Size Class 4 that did not have an e-mail address on record, LMIS staff looked online for their openings and made follow-up phone calls for additional information if necessary. The mailings and e-mails were sent only to the establishments that had not yet responded to the survey at that time. Establishments that did not respond to any of the mailings or e-mails were either contacted by telephone or their openings were obtained from their website during the month of June. Respondents had the option of responding to the survey via e-mail (adobe acrobat or excel), fax, telephone or Internet.

[Back to Top](#)

Survey Questions

Employers were asked to provide information on current job vacancies at the time of the survey. Therefore, these estimates are considered point-in-time estimates and may include seasonal variations in the labor market.

Survey respondents were asked to report job titles and the number of job vacancies for which they were recruiting. For each job title, they also were asked to provide education requirements, wages and benefits offered, and the length of time job vacancies had been open.

[Back to Top](#)

Survey Responses

The original sample included 3,028 firms. During the survey period, a total of 71 sampled units across the state were found to be out of business or out of scope (out of scope includes businesses that changed local areas, industry super sectors, or size classes). These units were removed from the sample and were not included in the calculation of the response rates.

The preferred method of choice to respond to the survey was by internet website (661 responses) followed by the returned mail (409 responses). We secured 556 responses via non-response phone calling during the month of June and 443 responses by obtaining openings from company websites. Each of the remaining response methods accounted for only small proportions of the total number of responses collected. Information regarding the response methods participants utilized is provided in [Table A](#).

The desired response rate was 65 percent for each local area. Efforts were also made to reach the desired response rate of 65 percent in each industry super sector and in each size class. Follow-up telephone calls were made during the final month of the survey period to secure critical non-responses identified within each local area for certain industry super sectors and size classes.

Usable responses were received from 2,141 employers contributing to an overall participation rate of 72.1 percent. Response rates for all strata were 65 percent or higher ensuring the reliability of the data. Information regarding the survey sample and response rates for each local area is provided in [Table B](#). Additional details by industry super sector and size class are provided in [Table C](#) and [Table D](#).

[Back to Top](#)

Job Titles (Occupational Titles)

Employers were asked to provide the names of the job titles that were currently open-for-hire. LMIS used the O*Net-SOC AutocoderTM developed by R.M. Wilson Consulting, Inc. to review these job titles and matched them to a corresponding occupation using the 2010 Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) system. LMIS Analysts then reviewed the output from the coding software and made corrections as necessary. The SOC is a four-tiered structure with 840 detailed occupations within 22 major occupational groups. For the purposes of this study, occupational information is presented at both the major occupational group and detailed occupational levels.

In the case of vague titles, such as "seasonal worker," employers were contacted to provide more information to facilitate assigning the appropriate code. Questionable titles that could not be linked to a specific SOC code, mainly due to lack of detailed information, were placed in a more general occupational category.

[Back to Top](#)

Estimation

Each employer was assigned a weight relative to others in the same local area, industry super sector, and size class. The weights were derived from the total number of employers in a given stratum as a percentage of the number of usable responses from that stratum. The weights were assigned after data collection was complete and were based upon response status. These weights were used to estimate the number of job vacancies for each occupation. Outliers were identified and the number of job vacancies was adjusted where necessary.

In some cases, additional information such as education requirement or length of recruiting period was not reported or was not usable in the final analysis. Some data may not add up to 100 percent.

Estimates were prepared and published for statewide Kansas and each of the five local areas.

[Back to Top](#)

Average Minimum Wage Offer

The respondents were asked to provide the expected compensation for each job opening. In many cases, the average minimum wage offer may vary depending on the experience of the candidate; therefore, employers had the option to provide a wage range. This provided the availability of two sets of average wages, minimum and maximum. In most cases, the published results represented the minimum average wage offer.

The majority of the results presented in the published tables include average wage offers as an hourly wage. Annual compensation for full-time positions reported in the survey was converted to an hourly rate for the purposes of this study. This was determined by dividing the annual compensation by 2,080 hours. There is a small group of occupations, primarily in teaching professions and coaching, identified by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Occupational Employment Survey (OES), where the standard work-year assumption is not valid. Therefore, the wages for these occupations were excluded from the analysis.

The average hourly wage offer is based upon the responses employers provided to the survey and does not reflect information from other sources or wages paid for currently filled positions. The average wage offer is the average of the wage information reported by employers. It does not include wage information for any occupations paid by special pay arrangements. For example, some truck drivers are paid a certain amount per load and some part-time post-secondary teachers are paid per credit hour.

The average wage offer may not include all monies received from tips since the amount of tips received is unknown. All wages reported below the federal minimum wage were adjusted to \$7.25 an hour when it was stated that tips were included and otherwise was excluded from the analysis. This was the federal minimum wage at the time of the survey and it applies to particular occupations such as a waitress, where the compensation reported by a respondent was \$4.50 an hour, plus tips. In these cases, \$7.25 was used to calculate the average minimum and maximum wage offer.

[Back to Top](#)

Job Vacancy Rate

The job vacancy rate is a computation of the number of job vacancies as a percent of all positions filled and vacant. The most current employment data from the Estimates Delivery System (EDS) was used to assist with the calculation of the job vacancy rates by occupation. EDS is a system for producing occupational wage and employment statistics from the results of the annual Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) Survey. EDS is particularly useful. It allows for the calculation of employment within occupational groups and detailed occupations for the state and for local areas. The occupational employment data used for this study was secured from the published second quarter 2011 wage survey data.

Job vacancy rates were calculated by industry super sector as well. Employment estimates from the QCEW Program, described in the Sample Selection section of this Methodology Report, were used to calculate job vacancy rates by industry super sector. The 2011 average annual employment by super sector was used to calculate the job vacancy rate by industry super sector.

The employment numbers used in calculating the job vacancy rates are from different sources and different time periods; therefore, the job vacancy rate by occupation and industry super sector may differ slightly.

[Back to Top](#)

Comparing Results from Previous Annual Studies

Previous job vacancy studies (2004 - 2006) were stratified by industry sector. In an effort to continually improve the methodology and lower the survey cost, beginning in 2007 the study was stratified by industry super sector. Due to this change in methodology, comparison of estimated job vacancies with previous surveys should be made with caution.

[Back to Top](#)

Methodology Tables

Table A
Survey Response Method

Response Method	Percent
Postal mail	18.5%
Telephone	4.1%
Fax	1.5%
Internet	29.9%
E-mail	0.9%
Non-Response Telephone Call	25.1%
Internet Research by LMIS	20.0%

Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding

[Back](#)

Table B
Survey Sample by Area

Area	Number of Establishments, 2nd Quarter 2011*	Survey Sample	Usable Responses	Response Rate**
Statewide	73,119	2,968	2,141	72.1%
Local Area I	18,116	676	485	71.7%
Local Area II	13,101	547	407	74.4%
Local Area III	21,314	875	612	69.9%
Local Area IV	13,801	560	401	71.6%
Local Area V	6,787	310	236	76.1%

* 2nd Quarter 2011 number of establishments does not include those establishments with zero employees.

** Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding

[Back](#)

Table C
Statewide Sample by Super Sector

Industry Super Sector	Number of Establishments, 2nd Quarter 2011*	Survey Sample	Usable Responses	Response Rate**
Total, Statewide	73,119	2,968	2,141	72.1%
Natural Resources and Mining	2,129	96	70	72.9%
Construction	6,688	223	158	70.9%
Manufacturing	2,932	200	151	75.5%
Trade, Transportation, and Utilities	19,241	672	457	68.0%
Information	1,382	101	87	86.1%
Financial Activities	7,712	268	188	70.1%
Professional and Business Services	11,681	421	291	69.1%
Education and Health Services	8,012	450	331	73.6%
Leisure and Hospitality	6,244	207	146	70.5%
Other Services	4,769	171	124	72.5%
Public Administration	2,329	159	138	86.8%

* 2nd Quarter 2011 number of establishments does not include those establishments with zero employees.

** Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding

[Back](#)

Table D
Statewide Sample by Size Class

Size Class	Number of Establishments, 2nd Quarter 2011*	Survey Sample	Usable Responses	Response Rate**
Total, Statewide	73,119	2,968	2,141	72.1%
Very Small (1-4 Employees)	36,233	1,089	760	69.8%
Small (5-49 Employees)	32,296	1,001	700	69.9%
Medium (50-249 Employees)	3,981	272	223	82.0%
Large (250 Employees or More)	609	606	458	75.6%

* 2nd Quarter 2011 number of establishments does not include those establishments with zero employees.

** Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding

[Back](#)

[Back to Top](#)

Helpful Links

[Local Area Counties & Map](#)

[Industry Super Sectors & Descriptions](#)

[Occupational Groups & Descriptions](#)

[2012 JVS Form](#)

[Back to Top](#)

Contact Us:

Kansas Department of Labor
Labor Market Information Services
401 SW Topeka Boulevard
Topeka, Kansas 66603
785.296.5000
Fax: 785.296.5286